

“Automatic Disclosure in Discovery: The Rush to Reform,” 27 Ga. L. Rev. 1, (1992).

Articles

Feb 1, 1992

Co-authored with Griffin B. Bell and Chilton Davis Varner

This article discusses the historic problems in the discovery process and analyzes the automatic disclosure rule submitted in 1992 by the Advisory Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States to the U.S. Supreme Court. Although reform of the discovery process is clearly necessary, the authors believe that the automatic disclosure process would be counterproductive and propose an alternative: a “meet and confer” procedure which would require the litigants to meet prior to conducting discovery to establish an overall discovery plan.

Related Professionals



Hugh Q. Gottschalk
Partner